Low-frequency sounds induce acoustic trauma in cephalopods
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There is currently relatively little information on how marine organisms process and analyze sound, making assessments about the impacts of artificial sound sources in the marine environment difficult. However, such assessments have become a priority because noise is now considered as a source of pollution that increasingly affects the natural balance of marine ecosystems. We present the first morphological and ultrastructural evidence of massive acoustic trauma, not compatible with life, in four cephalopod species subjected to low-frequency controlled-exposure experiments. Exposure to low-frequency sounds resulted in permanent and substantial alterations of the sensory hair cells of the statocysts, the structures responsible for the animals’ sense of balance and position. These results indicate a need for further environmental regulation of human activities that introduce high-intensity, low-frequency sounds in the world’s oceans.
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Interesting, most studies of noise effects on marine organisms concern endangered species that use sound in their daily activities. Less attention has been paid to commercially valuable species and in particular to invertebrates, such as cephalopods.

In a comprehensive review of the effects of anthropogenic sound sources on fish, Popper and Hastings (2009) concluded that without data "obtained in a systematic way with excellent controls and peer review" it is impossible to develop clear sound-exposure metrics and criteria that could help predict and manage the potential effects of sound on marine life. Indeed, reliable data in this field are extremely limited and, in light of the scope and importance of ocean systems, are urgently required. Of the three main forms of marine macrofauna (mammals, fish, and invertebrates), cephalopods belong to the last group, about which the least is understood. Situated in the food chain between fish and marine mammals, they are also key bio-indicators for ecosystem balance in the vast and complex marine ecosystem.

In September and October 2001, and again in October 2003, the annual reports of strandings of giant squid (Architeuthis dux; Figure 1) along the west coast of Asturias, Spain, showed a statistically significant increase (Guerra et al. 2004a). In both instances, the deaths coincided with the proximity of vessels using compressed airguns for geophysical prospecting, and producing high-intensity, low-frequency (below 100 hertz [Hz]) sound waves. Some of the specimens had lesions in various tissues and organs, but all presented pathologies within the statocysts. Because none of these lesions could be linked to previously known causes of death in the species, the presence of geophysical prospecting vessels in the area suggested for the first time that the deaths could be related to excessive sound exposure (Guerra et al. 2004b). However, although startle responses were observed in caged cephalopods exposed to airguns (McCaulley et al. 2000), no studies addressing noise-induced morphological changes in these species were carried out, and doubts remained regarding the possible negative impacts of high-intensity, low-frequency sounds on cephalopods.

Little is known about sound perception in invertebrates, but some evidence suggests that cephalopods may be sensitive to low-frequency sounds (Hanlon and Budelmann 1987; Packard et al. 1990). All cephalopod species have statocysts in the cephalic cartilage region. These highly sophisticated structures are responsible for helping the animal to determine its position and maintain balance, and are analogous to the vestibular system of vertebrates (Offutt 1970; Budelmann 1988; Budelmann 1992; Williamson 1995). These balloon-shaped structures contain sensory hair cells, which line the inside wall of a sacc-like structure (Budelmann 1988) and include two receptor systems: the macula-statolith system, which indicates changes in position according to gravity and linear acceleration, and the crista-cupula system, which determines angular acceleration (Figure 2).

Statocysts may play an important additional role in
low-frequency sound reception (Hu et al. 2009), although to date there is no definitive scientific evidence to support this idea. While there is uncertainty regarding the biological importance of particle motion sensitivity versus acoustic pressure, recent electrophysiological studies confirmed the cephalopods’ sensitivity to frequencies under 400 Hz (Octopus vulgaris, Kaifu et al. 2008; Sepioteuthis lessoniana, Octopus vulgaris, Hu et al. 2009; Loligo pealei, Mooney et al. 2010).

Here, we present the first morphological and ultrastructural study of the damaging effects on statocysts in individuals belonging to four cephalopod species under low-frequency, controlled-exposure experiments (CEEs), and discuss the implications of our findings.

**Methods**

Sequential CEEs were conducted over a period of 2 years on adult individuals (n = 87) belonging to four cephalopod species (Loligo vulgaris [n = 5], Sepia officinalis [n = 76], Octopus vulgaris [n = 4], and Illex coindetii [n = 2]) that were freshly caught off the Catalan coast of Spain (northwest Mediterranean Sea). The protocol included immediate exposure to 50–400 Hz sinusoidal wave sweeps with 100% duty cycle and 1-second sweep period for 2 hours in either a 2,000-liter fiberglass reinforced plastic tank or a 200-liter (glass-walled) tank, both filled with natural seawater (physiochemically self-filtered, temperature 18–20°C; salinity 35 parts per thousand; and under natural oxygen pressure). The sweep was produced and amplified through an in-air loudspeaker, while the level received was measured by a calibrated B&K 8106 hydrophone (received sound pressure level: 157 ± 5 decibels [dB] in reference to [re] 1 microPascal [µPa], with peak levels at 175 dB re 1 µPa).

Following exposure, the non-anesthetized individuals were decapitated at different intervals, ranging from immediately afterward to 12, 24, 48, 72, and 96 hours after exposure, respectively. The extraction of the statocysts was performed immediately following decapitation and the tissue was fixed for scanning electron microscopy (SEM), for light microscopy (LM), and for transmission electron microscopy (TEM). Specimens were then processed according to classical SEM, LM, and TEM procedures. In addition, the endolymph was extracted from a further set of individuals and immediately frozen at –70°C for proteomic analysis.

An additional set of live adult individuals (n = 100) was used as a control and sequentially processed in the same manner as the noise-exposed cephalopods, immediately following capture.

**Results**

All exposed individuals from all four species presented the same lesions and the same incremental effects over time. Immediately after exposure, damage was observed in the macula statica princeps (msp) and on the crista sensory epithelia. Kinocilia within hair cells were either missing or were bent or flaccid (compare Figure 3a and 3b). A number of hair cells showed protruding apical poles (Figure 3b) and ruptured plasma membranes, most probably resulting from the extrusion of cytoplasmic material. Hair cells were also partially ejected from the sensory epithelium, and spherical holes corresponding to missing hair cells were visible in the epithelium. The cytoplasmic content of the damaged hair cells showed obvious changes, including the presence of numerous vacuoles and electron dense inclusions not seen in the control animals (compare Figure 3c and 3d). Underneath the hair cells, afferent nerve fibers were swollen and showed mitochondrial damage or complete degeneration. In some specimens, large holes in the sensory epithelium were also observed. The appearance of these lesions became gradually more pronounced in individuals after 12, 24, 48, 72, and 96 hours. Part of the cellular body of the damaged cells was extruded above the sensory epithelium into the statocyst cavity (inset in Figure 3b). The most pronounced lesions were visible in specimens observed 96 hours after sound exposure. In these individuals, the sensory epithelium was severely damaged, with very few hair cells remaining; most of the hair cells had been extruded. The epithelium only presented supporting cells, creating a holed mosaic, where residual hair cells showed either very few bent, flaccid, or fused kinocilia, or none at all.

The almost complete extrusion of the hair cells, as well as the holes present in the epithelium, are clear signs that the noise impact was acute and that hair-cell damage was immediate. In mammals and some fish species, such dramatic damage has only been observed after exposure to extremely high-intensity sound; low- to mid-intensity acoustic stimuli have to date not been known to lead to any obvious mechanical damage to the sensory epithelia (Pujol and Puel 1999; McCauley et al. 2003; Popper and
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Hastings 2009). Instead, lesions involved fusion of the stereocilia and deformation of the hair-cell body, with cell death occurring over several days or weeks (Bohne and Rabbitt 1983). However, at the periphery of a severe acoustic trauma, less dramatic damage to hair cells also includes stereociliary disorganization and fusion, and open holes are left in the epithelium following the detachment of the cell apex. This was observed in all cephalopod specimens at 48, 72, and 96 hours after exposure.

In addition to hair-cell damage, the experimental animals showed swelling of afferent dendrites and neuronal degeneration, confirming that the neurons were also affected by the acoustic trauma. In mammalian cochlea, swelling of afferent dendrites occurs during exposure to loud noise and is the result of an excessive release of glutamate by the inner hair cell (Coyle and Puttfarcken 1993; Mumtaz et al. 1999; Pujol and Puel 1999). Under normal conditions, glutamate acts as a neurotransmitter among the inner hair cells, but has excitotoxic (toxicity to nerve cells and processes resulting from excess exposure to a neurotransmitter) effects when secreted in large quantities. The observed impacts on the statoacoustic organs of the noise-exposed cephalopods suggests the occurrence of an excitotoxic process due to an excess of glutamate, which has also been identified as a neurotransmitter in cephalopods (Tu and Budelmann 1994; Di Cosmo et al. 2006).

**Discussion**

The lesions described here are new to cephalopod pathology. Their presence in all of the noise-exposed individuals (versus their absence in controls) and their clear progression over time are consistent with the effects observed in other species that have been exposed to much higher intensities of sound. Why the relatively low levels of low-frequency sound have caused such lesions in cephalopods requires further investigation. In particular, it will be critical to determine the onset mechanism of the acoustic trauma in order to determine whether these animals are more sensitive to particle motion or acoustic pressure, or to a combination of both. Future electrophysiological experiments coupled with postmortem imaging techniques are also needed to determine the tolerance-to-noise threshold of these species. However, the presence of lesions in the statocysts clearly points to the involvement of these structures in sound reception and perception. Given that low-frequency noise levels in the ocean are increasing (eg due to shipping, offshore industry, and naval maneuvers), that the role of cephalopods in marine ecosystems is only now beginning to be understood (Boyle and Rodhouse 2005), and that reliable bioacoustic data on invertebrates are scarce, future studies will have an impor-
tant contribution to make to the sustainable use of the marine environment. These results indicate that the deleterious effects of marine noise pollution go well beyond those observed in whales and dolphins. Some activities – airgun surveys, pile driving, and sonar uses – have been shown to harm a wide variety of species. However, these findings introduce an additional question about whether other activities (eg shipping, fisheries, offshore operations) that are widely represented in the oceans and produce continuous low-frequency sounds are also affecting marine fauna. If the relatively low levels and short exposure applied in this study can induce severe acoustic trauma in cephalopods, the effects of similar noise sources on these species in natural conditions over longer time periods may be considerable. Because invertebrates are clearly sensitive to noise associated with human activities, is noise, like other forms of pollution, capable of affecting the entire web of ocean life? Long-term solutions will not be easy to find, but immediate mitigation actions already exist to control noise impacts in areas where future operations are scheduled (eg seismic surveys, construction, operation of wind turbines, naval maneuvers). Making the necessary improvements will require additional scientific knowledge and stronger political resolve. Furthermore, given the global extent of the noise proliferation problem, it must ultimately be addressed on an international scale. A complex issue becomes intractable and its impacts irreversible.
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